Agricultural policy-induced landscape changes: effects on carabid abundance and their biocontrol potential
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Abstract. One of the most important services provided by biodiversity is thought to be the biological control of pests in agricultural landscapes, including aphids on cereals. The food webs potentially contributing to biological control of aphids primarily consist of polyphagous predators, parasitoids and pathogens. The problems of aphid pests have increased greatly since the 1970-ies, possibly as an effect of agricultural intensification, which is thought to have reduced diversity and abundance of these predators and parasites and consequently their biocontrol potential. The main objective of this study was to test this by measuring this potential for biological control of aphids, and relate it to agricultural intensification and predator abundance. We selected 30 farms distributed along agricultural intensification gradients, based on the amount of fertilizers applied per hectare. Estimates of ground-living predator density were obtained using pitfall trapping over a one-week period. Traps were placed inside the cereal fields, 10 m from the margin, in 2 replicates per field. Predation risk due to ground-living predators (biocontrol potential) was estimated by monitoring removal of aphids glued to labels. This was done in the same fields, in the immediate vicinity of the traps, over a period of 2 days. The proportion of aphids eaten per unit time was the response variable. We present the correlations between intensity of agricultural exploitation, predator abundance and biocontrol potential. The outcomes are not straightforward in that intensification begets a reduction in predator density and biocontrol potential. We discuss the potential confounding issues that might have affected our results.

INTRODUCTION

Intensive production of cereals comprises about 21% of European land cover (33.81 millions of ha), corresponding to one third of the total European area devoted to agriculture (European Environmental Agency, 2000). Over the last 50 years, farmland in western European countries has experienced dramatic changes, mainly through the intensification of farming techniques (Siriwardena et al., 2000; Robinson & Sutherland, 2002) associated with the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP). This has entailed a loss of biodiversity judged to be similar to that expected from climate change. Plants, insects and especially birds have declined at the community level (Pain & Dixon, 1997; Chamberlain et al., 2000; Söderström & Pärt, 2000). Several studies suggest that agricultural intensification, farming systems with different intensities or decreased landscape heterogeneity induce biodiversity loss (Robinson & Sutherland, 2002; Benton et al., 2003; Bengtsson et al., 2005).

The intensification of European agriculture has included three main driving processes: (1) simplification and specialisation of agricultural landscapes leading to a decrease in permanent pastures and semi-natural landscapes, (2) transformation of less fertile areas into shrub and early successional forests, loss of landscape heterogeneity and (3) increase in the use of agricultural chemicals (herbicides, pesticides and fertilizers) per unit area. The species loss in European agricultural landscapes has been the result of changes in the food webs induced by structural habitat modifications or changes in the supply and diversity of the species’ resource base. The simplification of agricultural landscapes not only has affected diversity, but also ecosystem services (Thies & Tscharntke, 1999; Östman et al., 2001, 2003). One of the most important services provided by biodiversity is the biological control of pests in agricultural landscapes (Weisser & Siemann, 2004). In this context, however, abundance of insect biocontrol agents, rather than their species diversity plays a primary role: more individuals of natural enemies can eat more individuals of insect pests. Thus a decline in the total number of natural enemy individuals negatively affects pest biocontrol.

Among the economically most important insect pests in many parts of Europe are aphids on cereals since they are supposed to be responsible for up to 15% decrease in yield (reviewed in Leather et al., 1989). Outbreaks of aphid populations causing economic damage have been recorded since the early 1970’s, possibly as an effect of agricultural intensification (Thies et al., 2003), especially with the increase of nitrogen fertilizer applications in combination with applications of growth regulators and fungicides (Ankersmit, 1989; Honek, 1991). Chemical control of aphids is expensive, has negative side-effects on the environment and, in addition, it has become increasingly difficult due to increased resistance of aphids to carbamates, organophosphates and other commonly used insecticides. Thus efficient biological control of aphids by natural enemies, if at all possible, is economically valuable for farmers (Östman et al., 2003). The role of natural enemies in preventing cereal aphid outbreaks is questionable and discussed in many studies (e.g., W rattan & Powell, 1991; Levie et al., 2000; Kindlmann & Dixon,
2001, 2004; Sigsgaard, 2002; Schmidt et al., 2003). However, little is known about whether the variability of biocontrol can be explained in terms of the surrounding landscape.

In European agricultural landscapes, the food webs contributing to biological control of aphids primarily consist of polyphagous predators, such as carabids, spiders and ladybirds, and of specialised parasitoids and pathogens, and the relative importance of different taxa has been found to vary across Europe (Östman et al., 2001; Thies et al., 2005). It has been shown that ground-living natural enemies can, to varying degrees, decrease the abundance of the aphid species *R. padi* in cereal fields (e.g., Edwards et al., 1979; Chiverton, 1986; Holland & Thomas, 1997; Östman et al., 2001; Östman, 2004). Food webs have a strong connection to habitat variation, concerning landscape diversity, since many species depend on semi-natural habitats and field margins. Hence, food webs...
web structure and topology, patterns of species richness and density of links are likely to vary across landscapes. The loss of natural enemies in agricultural landscapes may ultimately result in a simplification of biocontrol food webs (due to a reduction in intraguild predation) and a reduction in food web complexity. Trophic interactions in aphid-natural enemy food webs will most likely be modified by the changes in landscape structure and diversity induced by the CAP. In addition, the recent reforms of the CAP and the entry of the new EU member countries may have multiple and profound consequences for biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Thus the aim of this work was to study the effects of agricultural intensification on the density of ground living predators in European agricultural landscapes and related to the ecosystem services, like sustained biological control of important agricultural pests, in the case of one new member country, the Czech Republic. More specifically, we have measured the potential for biological control of aphids, and related it to agricultural intensification and predator abundance.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This work took place in 90 winter wheat fields, which belonged to 30 different farms. In each farm, information concerning its average altitude and fertilizer inputs over the last 4 years (average kg N/ha for all fields and average kg N/ha for fields where wheat was grown) was collected. For each field, information concerning presence or absence of various types of surrounding habitats (forest, village, water surface, road) in the vicinity of 500 m from the field margin and percentage cover of weeds in the field monitored (measured in a 2 × 2 m square surrounding each carabid trap) was collected.

The study was conducted in three parts of the České Budějovice region, differing in the type of landscape (Fig. 1a, b, c) covering a total area of about 1625 km². The following experiments were conducted when the first winter wheat inflorescence emerged (BBCH).

**Predation risk due to ground-living predators (biocontrol potential)**

This was estimated by monitoring the removal of glued aphids in the week May 28 – June 1, 2007. We used *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, because this species does not attack wheat and therefore we could guarantee to farmers that our experiments would not endanger their crops. Carabids are known to eat any species of aphids they encounter, and therefore the results were not biased.

Three aphids of the L3/L4 were glued to a plastic label (12 × 1.6 cm) with the help of a moist brush (Fig. 2). The labels were then fixed on a polystyrene block to prevent damage during transport to the field. In the field the labels were bent so that the aphids were on the underside to prevent damage caused by rain. In total, 1620 aphids were glued and placed in the field per day; this procedure was repeated three times (a total of 4860 aphids). There were two sampling points per field (5 m apart), at both, 9 labels (27 aphids) were placed 10 m from the margin of the field (Fig. 2). These labels were checked four times: immediately after being placed in the field, the same afternoon, the morning of the following (second) day and, finally, in the afternoon of the following (second) day. The number of aphids still present on each label was counted. The number of aphids missing at the fourth inspection and the rate of decrease of the number in aphids glued to the labels over the period of the 4 inspections years (average kg N/ha for all fields and average kg N/ha for fields where wheat was grown) was collected. For each field, information concerning presence or absence of various types of surrounding habitats (forest, village, water surface, road) in the vicinity of 500 m from the field margin and percentage cover of weeds in the field monitored (measured in a 2 × 2 m square surrounding each carabid trap) was collected.

**Fig. 4. The average amount of pure N (kg/ha) applied to wheat fields over the last 4 years against the average numbers of aphids remaining/field (y = –0.01x + 6.91; R² = 0.02).**

**Fig. 5. Relation between the average height of tillers per field and the average numbers of aphids remaining/field (y = –0.19x + 21.4; R² = 0.26).**

**Fig. 6. Relation between altitude and the average numbers of aphids remaining/field (y = 6.5x + 417.6; R² = 0.26).**

**TABLE 1. Correlation coefficients between the numbers of aphids remaining on the labels and time, and the variables in the first column.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of tillers</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of tillers</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed abundance</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimate of the density of ground-living predators (carabid beetles)

Carabid sampling was done using pitfall traps. A total of 180 traps (2 per field) were placed out during the week June 11–15 and emptied one week later. The traps consisted of beer cups and, to protect against precipitation, square roofs (made of aluminum and fixed to soil surface by two nails) were used. The beer cups were placed in a hole made in the ground, after which the surrounding soil was arranged in order to minimize the disturbance of the surface around the trap. During the setting of the traps, another trap container was used to avoid soil and litter falling into the first trap, resulting in cleaner pitfall samples and reducing the time needed to sort. Traps were placed in the ground with the lip flush with the soil surface, since small species could be undersampled if the lip was even slightly above the surface. The traps were filled with a mixture made of water and 10% ethylene glycol (anti-freeze), to kill the carabids. A drop of unscented washing-up liquid was added to the killing agent in order to reduce the surface tension and prevent animals from escaping. The roof was then placed above the trap. The traps were left in the field for 6 days, after which the contents were collected. To empty the traps without contaminating the fields with ethylene glycol, the contents were filtered through a mesh and placed in a plastic bag and the liquid killing agent collected in a bottle. The carabids were preserved at –20°C until identification. The carabids were identified to species.

Statistical methods

Standard statistical methods were used for data analyses: linear and multilinear regression (stepwise method) in SPSS, Pearson correlation coefficient, R, two-tailed, two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances and one-way ANOVA.

RESULTS

Predation risk

Of the total of 4860 aphids glued to labels and placed in the field, 1579 aphids remained on the labels. The predation rate is the number of aphids missing divided by the initial number of aphids; in this experiment the predation rate was 67.51%.

There was a negative, but insignificant correlation \( R = -0.19, P > 0.05 \) between the number of aphids remaining and the nitrogen input over the past 4 years for the fields of the farms where this study was conducted (Fig. 3). Similarly, there was an insignificant negative correlation \( R = -0.20, P > 0.05 \) between the number of aphids remaining and the nitrogen input over the past 4 years for the fields cultivated with wheat (Fig. 4). Thus, in both cases, with increasing nitrogen input, the number of aphids that remained diminished, but not significantly so.

A significant \( R = -0.41, P < 0.05 \) negative correlation, explaining 16% of the variability, was found between the height of the tillers and the number of aphids that remained (Fig. 5, Table 1).

The correlation between the number of aphids remaining and the number of tillers was not significant. The correlation between the number of aphids remaining and weed abundance was positive and significant at the 5% level (Table 1).

There were highly significant \( R^2 = 0.26, P < 0.01 \) positive correlations between the average altitude of the farm and the number of aphids remaining on the labels (Fig. 6) and between the numbers of aphids remaining and the number of carabids captured in the pitfall traps (Fig. 7).

The multiple linear regression in SPSS, using the numbers of aphids remaining as the dependent variable and the nitrogen input over the past 4 years for the fields of the farms where this study was conducted, the nitrogen inputs during the past 4 years in the fields cultivated with wheat, average altitude of the farm, number of carabids captured in the pitfall traps, number of tillers, height of tillers as independent variables, did not reveal anything new: the resulting model contained height of tillers and average altitude of the farm as significant explanatory variables (Tables 2–4).

Diversity and density of ground-living predators:

A total of 3301 individuals (Table 5), representing 48 different species were collected in the pitfall traps. The carabid assemblages were strongly dominated by the genera Poecilus and Pterostichus, and the dominant species were Poecilus cupreus (36.84%) and Pterostichus melanarius (35.72%).

Table 2. Independent variables included in the final multiple linear regression model, with the number of aphids remaining on the labels as dependent variable, as calculated by SPSS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Variables entered</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Average height of tillers</td>
<td>Stepwise (Criteria: Probability-of-F-to-enter &lt; = 0.050, Probability-of-F-to-remove &gt; = 0.100).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Average altitude</td>
<td>Stepwise (Criteria: Probability-of-F-to-enter &lt; = 0.050, Probability-of-F-to-remove &gt; = 0.100).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Positive correlations were found between the number of carabids and number of tillers, number of aphids remaining, and vegetation, and negative ones between the number of carabids and the height of tillers (Table 6). None of them was significant, however.

The average nitrogen input per farm over the last 4 years and the average input of nitrogen per wheat field were negatively, but not significantly ($R^2 = 0.04$, $P < 0.05$) correlated with the average number of carabids captured (Figs 8 and 9 respectively). The number of carabids per field increased highly significantly ($R^2 = 0.27$, $P < 0.01$) with increase in altitude (Fig. 10).

The multiple linear regression in SPSS, using the numbers of carabids captured in the pitfall traps as the dependent variable and the number of aphids remaining, the nitrogen input over the past 4 years for the fields of the farms where this study was conducted, the nitrogen input over the past 4 years for the fields planted with wheat, average altitude of the farm, number of tillers and height of tillers as independent variables, also did not reveal anything new: the resulting model contained only the number of aphids remaining as the sole significant explanatory variable (Tables 7–9).

**Surrounding landscape**

Most of the fields were located near a road (91.1%) or a village (77.8%); 45.6% of the fields were close to forests and 55.6% were close to water surfaces, like ponds, creeks, or rivers. Most of the carabids captured were in fields near forests (Fig. 11), but there were no significant differences (One-way ANOVA, $P = 0.810$; $df = 3$), between the average numbers caught in fields close to different types of habitats.

In order to analyse a possible relation between the surrounding landscape and the number of carabids caught, a series of two-tailed t-tests, assuming unequal variances, were conducted. There was no significant influence of the presence of a forest close to the field ($P = 0.08$; $df = 58$), or a water surface, on the number of carabids caught ($P = 0.19$; $df = 88$). However, there was a significant influence of the presence of human settlements close to the field on the number of carabids caught ($P = 0.02$; $df = 81$).

Of all the carabids trapped, 9.1% were herbivorous and 90.9% carnivorous. There was no significant influence of the presence of a forest close to a field on the number of carnivorous ($P = 0.11$; $df = 54$) and herbivorous ($P = 0.35$; $df = 86$) carabids caught, or the presence of a water surface ($P = 0.11$; $df = 88$) and ($P = 0.28$; $df = 46$), respectively or the presence of a road ($P = 0.84$; $df = 9$) and ($P = 0.32$; $df = 34$), respectively. A significant influence of the presence of human settlements was found on the capture of carnivorous ($P = 0.03$; $df = 85$), but not herbivorous ($P = 0.28$; $df = 64$) carabids.
DISCUSSION

Predation risk

One could expect a higher predation pressure in more fertilized fields, because the higher content of N in the soil might influence the community of weeds and the diversity of plants, thus providing a more heterogeneous food supply for the carabids (Deng, 1983; Deng et al., 1985; Zhang & Zhao, 1996), which then become more numerous and consequently eat more aphids. Our results were qualitatively consistent with this expectation, but not significant, so we can neither support, nor reject this hypothesis.

The height of tillers was negatively correlated with the number of aphids that remained. This may be because the vegetation height and density might influence the microclimate near the ground, and create more humid microen-
vironment, which is favourable for carabids (e.g. Gardner et al., 1997; Ings & Hartley, 1999).

There was a positive correlation between the abundance of weeds and the number of aphids that remained, which is probably due to the fact that a high density of weeds make dispersion more difficult for the carabids and therefore they might be less effective in finding aphids (Greenslade, 1964; Southwood, 1978).

Altitude of the fields was also positively correlated with the predatory pressure. One might hypothesize that at high altitudes the fields are more humid, which causes a higher abundance of weeds, which in turn is important for the presence of some predators, especially carabids (Nie-melä et al., 1992; Magura et al., 1997, 2000). Recent evidence suggests that many plant species at higher altitudes are able to photosynthesize more efficiently than their counterparts at lower elevations (Korner & Diemer, 1994; Diemer & Korner, 1996; Cordell et al., 1999), become more attractive for phytophagous insects, and so increase the range of different kinds of food available for the carnivorous carabids.

The most interesting result is the positive correlation between the number of aphids that remained and the number of carabids captured in the pitfall traps. This seems to contradict other studies that refer to carabids as biocontrol agents of aphids (Edwards et al., 1979; Chiver-ton, 1986; Holland & Thomas, 1997; Östman et al., 2001). One has to bear in mind, however, that the predatory pressure and carabid numbers were determined at different (even if close) times. This result deserves more careful analysis, which we plan to do in the future.

Diversity and density of ground-living predators

In total, 48 different carabid species were captured. The most abundant were Poecilus cupreus and Pterostichus melanarius, both generalists, widespread and carnivorous species. P. cupreus is reported to prefer eating aphids, and is considered to be a potentially important biological control agent (Ekbom & Wiktelius, 1985; Chiverton, 1988; Ekbom et al., 1992).

It is rather surprising that the average input of nitrogen applied over the last 4 years, both for all the fields of a farm, and those where wheat was grown, were not significantly negatively correlated with the number of carabids captured, because Liu et al. (2006), found that the nitrogen content of the soil influences the occurrence of carabids and suggests three explanations for this. (1) Extremely high levels of nitrogen input in agro-ecosystems may lead to a homogenization of habitat conditions and subsequent disappearance of carabid species. (2) High levels of nitrogen may be toxic to plants, including weeds, consequently resulting in a low density of weeds and carabids. (3) Medium levels of nitrogen could influence the growth of plants and contribute to an increase in weeds and the number of tillers, which may

**Table 6.** Correlation coefficients between the total numbers of carabids captured and the variables in the first column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of tillers</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of tillers</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–0.17</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of aphids remaining</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed abundance</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. The average input of N in kg/ha applied per farm over the last 4 years against the average numbers of carabids caught per field ($y = –0.09x + 39.8; R^2 = 0.04$).

Fig. 9. The average input of N in kg/ha applied per farm over the last 4 years to wheat fields against the average numbers of carabids caught per field ($y = –0.09x + 39.4; R^2 = 0.04$).

Fig. 10. Altitude against the average numbers of carabids caught per field ($y = 0.33x – 115.6; R^2 = 0.27$).
lead to decreased beetle dispersal within crops, and their decrease in effectiveness at catching aphids (Greenslade, 1964; Southwood, 1978). Also Kromp (1990) related the abundances of *Amara* spp. to weeds. Crops with greater cover early in the season like winter barley, winter wheat, and peas appear to be more favourable for carabid beetles than late and open crops such as sugar beet, onions or carrots (Hance, 1990; Booij & den Nijs, 1992; Booij & Noorlander, 1992). These authors also observed that crop type affects ground beetle activity/density. After an application of extremely high doses of nitrogen, the carnivorous carabid species *Pterostichus melanarius*, *Bembidion lampros* and the herbivorous *Amara plebeja* avoided the plots with the highest concentrations, accumulating mainly in the non-fertilized control plots (Honczarenko, 1975). However, in another study *Pterostichus melanarius* seemed to increase in response to an application of nitrogen (Kromp, 1990). Therefore, nitrogen could influence both carnivorous and herbivorous carabid species both positively and negatively. We did not find a significant correlation (negative or positive) between the amount of nitrogen applied and the number of carabids caught.

Carabid communities are a reflection of the geographic location of the sites (Coll & Bolger, 2007). We found a positive correlation between the average number of carabids captured per field and the altitude of the field. This accords with other studies, which found differences in species composition of carabid beetle assemblages below 450 m and above 800 m (Butterfield, 1996). This could be related to microclimate conditions that vary with altitude, since beetle activity is correlated with humidity and air temperature (Rivard, 1966; Honček, 1988; Nève, 1994).

**Surrounding landscape**

The largest captures of carabids were made in fields near forests, probably because forests are ideal for most carabid species. Gilbert (1989) and Niemelä (1999), claim that urbanisation is the cause of several forms of disturbance (alteration, fragmentation and isolation of indigenous habitats, temperature, moisture, edaphic conditions and pollution), but our one-way ANOVA test demonstrated that the density of carabids in the fields near roads

---

**Fig. 8.** The average input of N in kg/ha applied per farm over the last 4 years against the average numbers of carabids caught per field ($y = -0.09x + 39.8; R^2 = 0.04$).

**Table 9.** Coefficients of the final multiple linear regression model with the carabids captured in the pitfall traps as dependent variable and their significance, as calculated by SPSS.

**Excluded Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Beta In</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>-1.399</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>-0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen on wheat fields</td>
<td>-0.238</td>
<td>-1.442</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>-0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average altitude</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>1.855</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of tillers</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average height of tillers</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Predictors in the Model: (Constant), Aphids remaining; † Dependent Variable: Average number of carabids.
or villages was not affected by disturbance caused by urbanization. We captured more carabids in these fields than in those near semi-natural or natural landscapes.

The assemblages of carabids captured included individuals that are typical of different habitats, like forests or open-habitats and some species are generalists for all kinds of habitats. This could explain the significant results of our t-test for the presence of villages. Magura et al. (2004) also obtained results that did not support the hypothesis that overall diversity should decrease in disturbed habitats, and the overall species richness of carabids was almost as high in the urban as in the rural area. Urban habitats are frequently more floristically diverse than less urbanised areas (Tonteri & Haila, 1990), reflecting the diverse, mosaic nature of urban habitats and the presence of introduced plants. Vegetation structure and the resulting changes in microclimate (e.g., temperature and air moisture) are probably the two most important factors controlling the distribution of carabids (Niemelä et al., 1992; Magura et al., 1997, 2000). Herb cover can also increase the amount of invertebrate prey available for predatory carabids (Niemelä & Spence, 1994; Niemelä et al., 1994, 1996).

Most of the species caught were carnivorous. This could be important for biological control of aphids, while the herbivorous species could potentially have an important role in biological control of weeds (Kromp, 1999).

CONCLUSIONS

This study reveals no clear evidence that agricultural intensification (nitrogen fertilization and disturbance of the surrounding landscape) leads to a decrease in carabid abundance, which is counterintuitive and invites further research. The negative correlation between the rate of disappearance of glued aphids from the labels and the number of carabids captured is also counterintuitive and indicates that more attention should be paid to the method used, as aphid disappearance from the labels could have been due to other predators. Most carabids are unable to climb the labels, and reach the aphids. They usually eat aphids that have fallen from the tillers in order to escape form other predators. Thus in order to understand the role of carabids in aphid mortality in wheat fields, probably other experiments need to be designed, or at least the “glued aphid” method rigorously tested.
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